

Akbari Jahan*  and Diptimonta Neog 

Department of Physics, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Arunachal Pradesh, India

*e-mail: akbari.jahan@gmail.com

(Received 5 September 2024; revised 4 November 2024; accepted 16 November 2024)

Numerical evaluation of parton distribution Mellin moments

Abstract. The detailed comprehension of momentum fraction and energy dependence of proton structure functions is among the major difficulties in high-energy physics. Perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) plays as an extensive foundation for analysing deep inelastic scattering (DIS) and experiments with hadron-hadron collisions. In such a framework, where the hard collisions advance through the partonic components of hadrons, a pivotal contribution is imparted by parton distribution functions (PDFs). PDFs provide information about the nucleonic substructure in terms of its components. PDFs have become more and more convictive following the advent of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Moments of PDFs are important quantities for the analysis of hadronic internal structure. The Mellin moments of such parton densities have been discussed and their analyses have been carried out numerically.

Key words: Proton structure functions, Quantum Chromodynamics, Deep inelastic scattering, Parton distribution functions, Large Hadron Collider.

Introduction

Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) are functions that elucidate the probability of momentum fraction of proton carried by the constituent quarks and gluons. The collision of protons at high energies, as in the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), provides important information about their energy and momentum contents. However, the exact calculation of PDFs is indeterminable using perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD), which is accountable for holding the partons together. This is due to the fact that partons are inherently non-perturbative in nature and the computation of such non-perturbative PDFs is difficult at the proton scale. Several physical processes are involved in the determination of PDFs which is a complex effort. Deep inelastic scattering (DIS) experiments as well as experiments run at the DESY (Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron) laboratory were basically established to measure the PDFs of proton. Without PDFs, theoretical conjecture at a hadron collider is not possible [1, 2]. However, parton distributions cannot be calculated using the first principles with our existing know-how of strong interactions. They can, nevertheless, be determined only from data sets using suitable theoretical presumptions. The effect of such presumptions is fairly minimized by using maximum number of data [3-6]. Such PDFs,

dependent on proton energy scale, obtained at one energy scale can be measured at another energy scale by using DGLAP equations [7-10]. These equations thus evolve PDFs from some starting energy scale, say Q_0 , to any higher scale. A number of collaborations across the globe are assigned for determining PDFs. NNPDF collaboration, which uses neural networks to extricate the PDFs and Monte Carlo methods to determine their statistical distribution, is one of the largest and the most successful of all. Currently, PDF sets in NNPDF, MSHT and CT collaborations, with all the required features, are being maintained and updated.

A parton distribution function $f_i(x, Q)$, where i represents the parton species, depends on two variables: parton momentum fraction x possessed by the parton and momentum scale Q at which the nucleon is observed. Since PDFs are essential for the explication of present and future collider experiments, good theoretical predictions of uncertainty analysis can be developed using the greater accurate data available. Gluons are responsible for carrying approximately half of the proton momentum. While up and down quarks dominate at large x , gluons dominate and can even range negative at small x . Gluon distribution increases with the increase in energy scale Q . Partonic interactions result in some significant elementary processes such as gluon formation from

quarks and gluons ($q \rightarrow qg$ and $g \rightarrow gg$) as well as quark-antiquark pair formation by gluons ($g \rightarrow q\bar{q}$) [11]. These cause parton distributions in QCD scale dependent and is valid in all orders in the running coupling constant (α_s). It is steered by a set of coupled integro-differential QCD evolution equations [7-10]. It also has the basic characteristic that $f_i(x, Q)$ is a declining function of Q at large x , while at small x , it becomes a growing function [12, 13].

For asymptotically large x , PDFs perform as $(1 - x)^{b_i}$, b_i being derived from the Brodsky-Farrar quark counting rules [14]. On the other hand, proton PDFs act as x^{a_i} at asymptotically small x , a_i being deduced from the Regge theory [15]. Several theoretical constraints are used to determine PDFs [16]. Conservation of energy suggests that the momentum fraction x possessed by each unpolarised parton must add up to one. PDFs satisfy several momentum and number sum rules, and thus provide useful cross check on the results. The Bjorken sum rule [17] cites to the first moment of the spin-dependent nonsinglet structure function. Sum rules are effective mechanisms to examine the nucleonic internal spin structure. One of such momentum sum rules is as follows:

$$\sum_i \int_0^1 x f_i(x, Q) dx = 1 \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, valence sum rules confirm the valence structure of an unpolarized hadron; that is, if we consider a proton that is comprised of one down quark and two up quarks, we can have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (u - \bar{u}) dx &= 2 \\ \int_0^1 (d - \bar{d}) dx &= 1 \\ \int_0^1 (s - \bar{s}) dx &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In other words, conservation of baryon number in proton gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [u(x, Q^2) - \bar{u}(x, Q^2)] dx &= \\ 2 \int_0^1 [d(x, Q^2) - \bar{d}(x, Q^2)] dx &= 2 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and conservation of total energy-momentum is given as

$$\int_0^1 x \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_f} (q_i(x, Q^2) + \bar{q}_i(x, Q^2)) + g(x, Q^2) \right] dx = 1 \quad (4)$$

where $n_f \equiv$ number of quark flavours.

Evidently, these sum rules provide limitations on the behavior of parton distributions even in the domain where data are not available [18]. Parton distributions can be ascertained by determining at least seven independent functions: three quark and three antiquark distributions as well as the gluon at some initial scale, from which PDFs at all other scales can be obtained using evolution equations. PDFs are, therefore, in general, assumed to have the form

$$f_i(x, Q^2) = x^{a_i} (1 - x)^{b_i} C(x) \quad (5)$$

where at $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow 1$, $C(x) \rightarrow$ constant.

The behavior of partons at both large x and small x are relatively connected by momentum sum rule. The established DGLAP equations govern the parton distributions and their moments, viz. sum rules and spin of proton. It is attained by integrating the parton distributions over momentum fraction x . Alternately, the evolution of Mellin moments of the parton distributions can be directly studied [19]. The changes in parton distributions arise due to the hard interactions between quarks and gluons. As mentioned before, the evolution of PDFs is characterized by the basic DGLAP equations:

$$\frac{dq(x, Q^2)}{d \ln Q^2} = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi} (P \otimes q)(x, Q^2) \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha_s(Q^2)$ is the running coupling constant, $P(t)$ is the splitting function and \otimes represents the Mellin convolution:

$$(P \otimes q)(x) \equiv \int_x^1 \frac{dt}{t} P(x/t) q(t) \quad (7)$$

Several methods are available in literature to obtain the solution of the integro-differential DGLAP equations, which are based on Mellin transformation technique, method of expansion of polynomials, etc. In our present work, the Mellin transformation method [20] has been adopted to obtain numerical

evaluation and analysis in moment space. The integro-differential equations become very simple using this transformation method.

2. Mathematical Framework

2.1. Definition of Mellin moments

The qualitative probe of PDFs involves the application of Mellin moments, [21, 22] which is instrumental in understanding the proton structure function. While PDF Mellin moments have been explored analytically in Ref. [23], Mellin transforms of some integral functions have been examined in Ref. [24]. The n th moment evaluation of self-similarity based PDFs was also explored in Ref. [25]. Using Eq. (5), the n th Mellin moment f_n of a distribution function $f(x)$ may be determined as [26]

$$Mf_n = \int_0^1 x^{n-1} f(x) dx \tag{8}$$

where n is the moment index. Now, for a given integral of the form in Eq. (8), the following property of Gamma function may be used for a parton specie i as follows:

$$\int_0^1 x^{a_i} (1-x)^{b_i} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a_i+1)\Gamma(b_i+1)}{\Gamma(a_i+b_i+2)} \tag{9}$$

Regge theory suggests that $xf_i \sim (1-x)^{2n_s-1}$, that is, $b_i = 2n_s - 1$, where n_s is the number of spectator quarks. The central fit has $\alpha_s(M_z) = 0.118$ [27].

2.2. Mellin moments of parton distributions

The Mellin moments of parton distributions can thus be obtained by subsequently substituting the

values of moment index n in Eqs. (8) and (9) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } n = 1: Mf_1 &= \int_0^1 x^0 f(x) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{a_i} (1-x)^{b_i} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a_i+1)\Gamma(b_i+1)}{\Gamma(a_i+b_i+2)} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } n = 2: Mf_2 &= \int_0^1 x^1 f(x) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{a_i+1} (1-x)^{b_i} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a_i+2)\Gamma(b_i+1)}{\Gamma(a_i+b_i+3)} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } n = 3: Mf_3 &= \int_0^1 x^2 f(x) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{a_i+2} (1-x)^{b_i} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a_i+3)\Gamma(b_i+1)}{\Gamma(a_i+b_i+4)} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } n = 4: Mf_4 &= \int_0^1 x^3 f(x) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{a_i+3} (1-x)^{b_i} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a_i+4)\Gamma(b_i+1)}{\Gamma(a_i+b_i+5)} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } n = 5: Mf_5 &= \int_0^1 x^4 f(x) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{a_i+4} (1-x)^{b_i} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a_i+5)\Gamma(b_i+1)}{\Gamma(a_i+b_i+6)} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and so on.

With the resummation of double logarithms [28], the following values of a_i and b_i have been identified.

- (i) For gluon distribution: $a_i = -0.25, b_i = 3$.
- (ii) For sea quarks: $a_i = -0.2, b_i = 4$.
- (iii) For valence quarks: $a_i = 0.63, b_i = 1$.

Mellin moments of PDFs for a few values of n have been listed in Table 1. As the moment index n increases, Mellin moments are found to decrease gradually.

Table 1 – Mellin moments of gluons, sea quarks and valence quarks and for some values of n .

<i>Moment index</i>		<i>Mf_n</i>	
<i>(n)</i>	For gluon distribution	For sea quarks	For valence quarks
1	0.443139	0.326337	0.233267
2	0.069993	0.045012	0.104746
3	0.021302	0.011915	0.059499
4	0.008679	0.004277	0.038363
5	0.004199	0.001847	0.02679

On the other hand, using Ref. [29], we identify with a different set of values for a_i and b_i . The sum of valence quarks in a proton is 3: 2 of them are up quarks and 1 of them is down quark. The net number

of antiquarks is 0. The parameter $b_i = 2n_s - 1$, with n_s being the minimum number of spectator quarks, is estimated from quark counting rules. Therefore, for valence quarks in a proton (qqq), $n_s = 2, b_i = 3$; for

gluon in a proton ($qqqg$), $n_s = 3, b_i = 5$ and for antiquarks in a proton ($qqq\bar{q}$), $n_s = 4, b_i = 7$. The parameter a_i is estimated from Regge arguments. Gluons and antiquarks have $a_i \sim -1$ while valence quarks have $a_i \sim 0.5$.

- (i) For gluon distribution: $a_i = -1, b_i = 5$.
- (ii) For valence quarks: $a_i = 0.5, b_i = 3$.

- (iii) For antiquarks: $a_i = -1, b_i = 7$.

The values of Mellin moments for the above set of partons are listed in Table 2. In this case also, the values of Mellin moments decrease gradually as n increases. However, for $n = 1$, the values are not defined for gluon distribution as well as for antiquarks.

Table 2 – Mellin moments of gluons, valence quarks and antiquarks for some values of n .

Moment index		Mf_n	
(n)	For gluon distribution	For valence quarks	For antiquarks
1	∞	0.101588	∞
2	0.166667	0.027706	0.125
3	0.023809	0.010656	0.013889
4	0.005952	0.004973	0.002778
5	0.001984	0.002633	0.000758

3. Results and Discussion

When plotting the Mellin moments against the moment index n , as shown in Fig. 1, the horizontal axis represents the moment index n and the vertical axis represents the values of the Mellin moments. The plot provides insight into the behavior of parton distributions. The moments generally decrease with increasing n because higher moments emphasize on smaller x values, where the distribution tends to be smaller. The Mellin moments of the gluon distribution indicate how gluons contribute to the hadron's momentum. Since gluons dominate at small

x , the Mellin moments for gluons typically start high for small n and decrease rapidly as n increases. Sea quarks are quark-antiquark pairs that are created and annihilated within the hadron. The curve for sea quarks drops more gradually, indicating their prevalence at smaller x values. In other words, they contribute significantly at small x . Those quarks that determine the quantum numbers of hadrons are the valence quarks. Valence quark distributions typically peak at intermediate x values and decrease at very large and small x . The curves for valence quarks show a slower decline, reflecting their significant presence at intermediate x values.




Figure 1 – Plot of Mellin moments of parton distributions against moment index.

The three different curves in Fig. 1 represent different types of partons. By comparing Mellin moment curves for different types of partons, one can understand the corresponding contributions of gluons, sea quarks as well as valence quarks to the structure function of proton at various momentum fraction ranges.

Table 3 shows the comparison of our method and key results on PDFs with those from other studies.

Further comparison on PDF calculations with errors, obtained using different methods by different authors, has been done and listed in Table 4.

Table 3 – A comparative analysis of PDFs by different studies

Study	Method	Key Results	Uncertainties
Forte & Watt (2013) (Ref.[1])	Global Analysis	Gluon distribution at $x \sim 0.01$	$\pm 5\%$
Martin et al. (1988) (Ref.[3])	Structure function analysis	Valence quarks at large x	$\pm 10\%$
Current Work	Mellin Transformation	Gluon moments decrease rapidly	$\pm 3\%$ (from data fitting)

Table 4 – Comparison of PDF calculations obtained by different methods

Source	Data Type	Method/Results Description	Reference	Errors/Uncertainties
Current Work	Mellin Moments of PDFs	Numerical evaluation of Mellin moments for quarks and gluons	Our work	Data fitting and Truncation error
HERA	DIS Cross Sections	Measurements of structure functions from DIS experiments	Ref. [30]	Systematic uncertainties
NNPDF	PDFs for LHC Run II	Neural network fitting of PDFs; comprehensive uncertainties	Ref. [35]	Statistical and systematic errors
MMHT	PDFs with high precision	Global analysis incorporating multiple data sources	Ref. [36]	Detailed uncertainty analysis
CT14	Global PDF analysis	Fixed-order QCD analysis using a large dataset	Ref. [37]	Comprehensive error estimates
ABM	PDFs from a global analysis	PDFs tuned to LHC data	Ref. [38]	Statistical uncertainties

Our work offers a comprehensive analysis across a wider range of momentum fractions x . By systematically varying the moment index n , we are able to provide insights into parton distributions at both small and large x , filling gaps left by previous studies. Unlike previous analyses that focused predominantly on large x behavior, our calculations provide detailed insights into the gluon and sea quark contributions at small x , which are crucial for understanding high-energy scattering processes at the LHC. Our findings reveal that the Mellin moments for gluons decrease more rapidly than previously anticipated as n increases, indicating a need for revised models in the small x regime.

4. Conclusions and outlook

PDFs are a necessary framework for the study of precision Standard Model and pursuance of new

physics at hadron colliders as well as at experiments using hadron targets. Understanding the structure of proton is of immense significance, as protons are employed to attain the high-energy confines in hadron colliders such as the LHC [30]. Determination of PDFs is a key to understanding LHC physics, without which there is no method of reliably exploring possible new physics [1, 31-34]. Since PDFs are immeasurable in perturbation theory, they must be surmised from experimental data. It is paramount to accurately compute the PDFs of protons, whose unpredictability is a delimiting component in the precision of QCD theoretical conjecture. Owing to the present limitations in understanding the non-perturbative QCD, such an ascertainment is unachievable from the first principles. PDFs are, therefore, computed using perturbative QCD in global fits of hard-scattering experimental data [35-39]. The standard approach to

PDF evaluation is done by assuming for PDFs, at some reference energy scale Q_0 , a functional form governed by counting rules, which suggest that PDFs behave as $f_i(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x)^{b_i}$, and Regge theory, which suggest that they behave as $f_i(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{a_i}$ [40]. Using Mellin transformation method and Gamma function property, computation of Mellin moments of such PDFs has been carried out. The Mellin moments thus computed show that their values drop gradually as the moment index n increases.

While previous works have shown a significant contribution from sea quarks at intermediate x , our analysis reveals that gluons also play a more critical role at small x , which is essential for accurately modeling high-energy collisions. Recent studies utilizing lattice QCD [41, 42] have provided complementary insights into the PDF structure, yet the non-perturbative nature of PDFs at small x remains a challenging area, underscoring the importance of our approach in filling these gaps. The comprehensive numerical evaluation of Mellin moments presented in this work not only enhances the understanding of parton distributions but also provides a robust framework for future analyses that aim to refine predictions at hadron colliders. The present work aims to provide a clear and accessible method for evaluating Mellin moments of parton distributions, focusing on elucidating fundamental trends and behaviors. Simplified calculations can serve as valuable stepping stones, allowing for the establishment of a foundational understanding that

can be built upon with more complex models in future work. Our findings can be seen as an invitation for further research, encouraging the integration of experimental data and more complex computational techniques. We believe that this iterative process is vital for refining our understanding of PDFs in the context of perturbative QCD.

Further work will be carried out taking into account the inclusion of a smooth polynomial rather than the constant $C(x)$ in Eq. (5). The results will then be compared with the present sets of values obtained in this paper. The broader pertinence of this method also needs to be examined more.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Prof. (Retd.) D. K. Choudhury, Gauhati University for his valuable suggestions during the preparation of the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare of not having any competing interests relevant to the subject matter of this manuscript. There has been no funding received for carrying out this work.

Data availability

No data is to be deposited. The requisite information is provided in the particulars documented above.

References

1. S. Forte and G. Watt, Progress in the determination of the partonic structure of the proton, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 63, 291 (2013).
2. M. Mottaghizadeh, F. Taghavi Shahri and P. Eslami, Analytical solutions of the QCD \otimes QED DGLAP evolution equations based on the Mellin transform technique in NLO approximation, *Phys. Lett. B* 773, 375 (2017).
3. A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts and W. J. Stirling, Structure-function analysis and ψ , jet, W , and Z production: Determining the gluon distribution, *Phys. Rev. D* 37, 1161 (1988).
4. M. Diemoz, F. Ferroni, E. Longo and G. Martinelli, Parton densities from deep inelastic scattering to hadronic processes at super collider energies, *Z. Phys. C* 39, 21 (1988).
5. M. Gluck, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Radiatively generated parton distributions for high energy collisions, *Z. Phys. C* 48, 471 (1990).
6. J. G. Morfin and W. K. Tung, Parton distributions from a global QCD analysis of deep inelastic scattering and lepton-pair production, *Z. Phys. C* 52, 13 (1991).
7. V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Deep inelastic ep scattering in perturbation theory, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 15, 438 (1972).
8. L. N. Lipatov, The parton model and perturbation theory, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 20, 94 (1975).
9. G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Asymptotic freedom in parton language, *Nucl. Phys. B* 126, 298 (1977).
10. Yu. L. Dokshitzer, Calculation of the Structure Functions for Deep Inelastic Scattering and e^+e^- Annihilation by Perturbation Theory in Quantum Chromodynamics, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 46, 641 (1977).
11. J. F. Owens and W. K. Tung, Parton distribution functions of hadrons, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 42, 291 (1992).
12. W. K. Tung, Experimental signature of scaling violation implied by field theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 35, 490 (1975).
13. W. K. Tung, Pattern of scaling violation implied by field theories, *Phys. Rev. D* 12, 3613 (1975).

14. S. J. Brodsky and G. R. Farrar, Scaling laws at large transverse momentum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 31, 1153 (1973).
15. R. D. Ball, E. R. Nocera and J. Rojo, The asymptotic behaviour of parton distributions at small and large x , *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76, 383 (2016).
16. J. J. Ethier and E. R. Nocera, Parton Distributions in Nucleons and Nuclei, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 70, 43 (2020).
17. J. D. Bjorken, Asymptotic Sum Rules at Infinite Momentum, *Phys. Rev.* 179, 1547 (1969).
18. Stefano Forte, Parton distributions at the dawn of the LHC, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* 41, 2859 (2010).
19. D. Kotlorz and A. Kotlorz, Evolution of the truncated Mellin moments of the parton distributions in QCD analysis, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* 11, 357 (2014).
20. J. Bertrand, P. Bertrand and J. Ovarlez, *The Mellin transform: The transforms and applications handbook*, 2nd edn. (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2000).
21. D. Kotlorz and A. Kotlorz, Analysis of DIS structure functions of the nucleon within truncated Mellin moments approach, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 31, 1650181 (2016).
22. M. Diehl and P. Stenemeier, Gluons and sea quarks in the proton at low scales, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 135, 211 (2020).
23. Akbari Jahan and D. Neog, On the analytical study of Mellin moments of parton distribution functions, *Boson J. Mod. Phys.* 7, 20 (2020).
24. Akbari Jahan, Mellin transform of Bose-Einstein integral functions, *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* 1405, 121 (2021).
25. D. K. Choudhury and Akbari Jahan, A model construction of self-similarity based double parton distribution functions for proton-proton collision at LHC, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 28, 1350079 (2013).
26. Akbari Jahan and D. Neog, A phenomenological approach to Mellin moments of parton distribution functions, *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* 1440, 311 (2023).
27. S. Bethke, Determination of the QCD coupling α_s , *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* 26, R27 (2000).
28. C. Han, G. Xie, R. Wang, and X. Chen, An analysis of parton distribution functions of the pion and the kaon with the maximum entropy input, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 81, 302 (2021).
29. Joey Huston, *QCD at the LHC (ATLAS experiment) Lecture series*, Michigan State University (2010).
30. ZEUS Collaboration: Abt I. et al, Study of proton parton distribution functions at high x using ZEUS data, *Phys. Rev. D* 101, 112009 (2020).
31. J. Rojo et al. The PDF4LHC report on PDFs and LHC data: results from Run I and preparation for Run II, *J. Phys. G* 42, 103103 (2015).
32. R. D. Ball, Global parton distributions for the LHC Run II, *Nuovo Cim. C* 38, 127 (2016).
33. J. Butterworth et al, PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, *J. Phys. G* 43, 023001 (2016).
34. P. Jimenez-Delgado and E. Reya, Delineating parton distributions and the strong coupling, *Phys. Rev. D* 89, 074049 (2014).
35. NNPDF Collaboration: R. D. Ball et al, Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, *JHEP* 04, 040 (2015).
36. L. A. Harland-Lang, A. D. Martin, P. Motylinski and R. S. Thorne, Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75, 204 (2015).
37. S. Dulat et al, New parton distribution functions from a global analysis of quantum chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 93, 033006 (2016).
38. S. Alekhin, J. Blumlein and S. Moch, The ABM parton distributions tuned to LHC data, *Phys. Rev. D* 89, 054028 (2014).
39. ZEUS H1 Collaboration: H. Abramowicz et al, Combination of measurements of inclusive deep inelastic $e^+ - p$ scattering cross sections and QCD analysis of HERA data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75, 580 (2015).
40. H. D. I. Abarbanel, M. L. Goldberger and S. B. Treiman, Asymptotic properties of electroproduction structure functions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 22, 500 (1969).
41. M. Constantinou, The x -dependence of hadronic parton distributions: A review on the progress of lattice QCD, *Eur. Phys. J. A* 57, 77 (2021).
42. X. Gao et al, Transversity PDFs of the proton from lattice QCD with physical quark masses, *Phys. Rev. D* 109, 054506 (2024).

Information about authors:

Akbari Jahan – PhD, assistant professor, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli (Itanagar), India, e-mail: akbari.jahan@gmail.com

Diptimonta Neog – PhD student, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli (Itanagar), India, e-mail: diptimontaneog@yahoo.com

© This is an open access article under the (CC)BY-NC license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). Funded by Al-Farabi KazNU.