





have important role.

$y_1(\lambda)$  is polynomial from  $\lambda$  the degree  $(n-1)$  with the leading coefficient  $(b_0(-1)^{n-1})$ .

By

$$|\eta_2| \leq |\eta_3| \leq \dots \leq |\eta_n| \quad (4)$$

denote the zero of polynomial  $y_1(\lambda)$ .

We will use the spectrum of the matrix  $C$ . Matrix  $C$  is obtained from the matrix  $B$  by the deletion of the first line and first column.

$$\xi_2 \leq \xi_3 \leq \dots \leq \xi_n \quad (5)$$

are eigenvalues of matrix  $C$  in the order of their growth.

**Formulation of the problem of the restoration**

All elements

$a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}$  of matrix  $A$  to restore on the sequences (2), (3), (4), (5).

The number  $b_0$  is assigned, and one of the numbers of sequence (4) is considered unknown. The algorithm is illustrated below.

**Results of the direct problem**

Some properties of the sequences (2), (3), (5).

**Lemma 1.** The following identity is satisfied for any

$$\lambda \neq \mu$$

$$\langle \bar{y}(\lambda); \bar{y}(\mu) \rangle = \frac{P_{n+1}(\lambda)y_0(\mu) - P_{n+1}(\mu)y_0(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu}.$$

**Consequence 1.** The equality

$$\|\bar{y}(\lambda)\|^2 = P'_{n+1}(\lambda)y_0(\lambda) - P_{n+1}(\mu)y'_0(\lambda)$$

is fulfill for any  $\lambda$ .

Proof of the lemma 1.

Accoding to (1) the correspondence

$$A \bar{y}(\lambda) = \lambda \bar{y}(\lambda) + P_{n+1}(\lambda) \bar{\delta}_0 \quad (6)$$

is fulfill for any  $\lambda$ .

The vector equality

$$A \bar{y}(\mu) = \mu \bar{y}(\mu) + P_{n+1}(\mu) \bar{\delta}_0 \quad (7)$$

is fulfill for any  $\mu$ .

By scalar multiplication of all members of equality (6) to the vector  $\bar{y}(\mu)$ , we obtain:

$$\langle A \bar{y}(\lambda); \bar{y}(\mu) \rangle = \lambda \langle \bar{y}(\lambda); \bar{y}(\mu) \rangle + P_{n+1}(\lambda)y_0(\mu) \quad (8)$$

Analogously from (7) we obtain

$$\langle A \bar{y}(\mu); \bar{y}(\lambda) \rangle = \mu \langle \bar{y}(\mu); \bar{y}(\lambda) \rangle + P_{n+1}(\mu)y_0(\lambda). \quad (9)$$

Subtract equality (9) from (8) and using  $A = A^T$ , we obtain result of lemma 1.

Consequence 1 follow from lemma 1 for  $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$ .

By  $\Lambda$  denote the set of complex  $\lambda$  for  $\|\bar{y}(\lambda)\| > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** The following assertions are fulfill on the set  $\Lambda$ :

- a) All eigenvalues of matrixes  $A$ ,  $C$  and  $B$ , which belong to  $\Lambda$ , simple and real;
- b) Eigenvalues of matrixes  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $B$  and  $C$ , which belong to  $\Lambda$ , do not coincide;
- c) the following inequalities fulfill for eigenvalues

$$\lambda_0 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_{n-1} < \lambda_{n-1}$$

Matrixes  $A$  and  $B$  have the real simple eigenvalues (являются существенно простыми матрицами), and eigenvalues of matrixes  $A$  and  $B$  interchange.

Proof of theorem 1.

Let  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  is eigenvalues of matrix  $A$ , then  $P_{n+1}(\lambda_0) = 0$ . Accoding to consequence 1 we have  $0 < \|\bar{y}(\lambda)\|^2 = P'_{n+1}(\lambda)y_0(\lambda)$ . Then the numbers  $P'_{n+1}(\lambda_0)$  and  $y_0(\lambda_0)$  are not equal to zero. It means that  $P'_{n+1}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ , and  $\lambda_0$  is simple eigenvalue of matrix  $B$ .

Assume that  $\mu_0 \in \Lambda$  is eigenvalue of matrix  $B$ , then  $y_0(\mu_0) = 0$ .

According to consequence 1 the following equality is carried out

$$0 < \|\bar{y}(\mu_0)\|^2 = -P_{n+1}(\mu_0)y_0'(\mu_0).$$

Consequently, the number  $P_{n+1}(\mu_0)$  is not equal to zero, thus  $\mu_0$  cannot be eigenvalue of matrix  $A$ . From other side it follows from the inequality  $y_0'(\mu_0) \neq 0$ , that  $\mu_0$  is simple eigenvalue of matrix  $B$ . Assertions prove analogously for matrix  $C$ .

**Observation.** If  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  then  $\bar{y}(\lambda_0) = 0$ , that is all elements of vector  $\bar{y}(\lambda_0)$  are equal to zero. Hence, in particular it follows that  $P_{n+1}(\lambda_0) = 0$ . That is all numbers  $P_{n+1}(\lambda_0)$ ,  $y_0(\lambda_0)$ ,  $y_1(\lambda_0)$ , ...,  $y_n(\lambda_0)$  are equal to zero for  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ .

### Results on the problem of the restoration

In this point we consider that three sequences of the numbers assigned (2), (3), (4), (5). We should find three sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(\lambda) &= (A - \lambda E)^{-1} \bar{\delta}_0 = -\lambda^{-1} (E - \frac{1}{\lambda} A)^{-1} \bar{\delta}_0 = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} (E + \frac{1}{\lambda} A + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} A^2 + \dots) \bar{\delta}_0 = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{\delta}_0 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} A \bar{\delta}_0 - \frac{1}{\lambda^3} A^2 \bar{\delta}_0 - \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x_0(\lambda) = \langle \bar{x}(\lambda), \bar{\delta}_0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \langle A \bar{\delta}_0; \bar{\delta}_0 \rangle - \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \langle A^2 \bar{\delta}_0; \bar{\delta}_0 \rangle - \dots \quad (13)$$

Examining the equality (11) more detail for  $|\lambda_0| \geq \|A\| = |\lambda_n|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_0 & a_1, \dots, & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2, \dots, & b_n \\ c_1 & c_2, \dots, & c_{n-1} \end{array}$$

Examine the equation

$$(A - \lambda E) \bar{x}(\lambda) = \bar{\delta}_0. \quad (10)$$

By  $x_0(\lambda)$ ,  $x_1(\lambda)$ , ...,  $x_n(\lambda)$  denote the elements of vector  $\bar{x}(\lambda)$ .

For example, for  $x_0(\lambda)$  the following formula is fulfill

$$x_0(\lambda) = \frac{y_0(\lambda)}{P_{n+1}(\lambda)}, \text{ if } P_{n+1}(\lambda) \neq 0. \quad (11)$$

Analogously, the equality is correct

$$x_1(\lambda) = -\frac{y_1(\lambda)}{P_{n+1}(\lambda)}, \text{ if } P_{n+1}(\lambda) \neq 0. \quad (12)$$

From the other side, for  $|\lambda| > \|A\|$ , using a number of Neumann, we obtain the relationship

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_0(\lambda) &= -\frac{y_0(\lambda)}{P_{n+1}(\lambda)} = \frac{(\mu_1 - \lambda)(\mu_2 - \lambda)\dots(\mu_n - \lambda)}{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)(\lambda_1 - \lambda)\dots(\lambda_n - \lambda)} = \frac{y_0(\lambda_0)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda_0)(\lambda_0 - \lambda)} + \\
 &\frac{y_0(\lambda_1)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - \lambda)} + \dots + \frac{y_0(\lambda_n)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda_n)(\lambda_n - \lambda)} = \frac{y_0(\lambda)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{\lambda^3} + \dots \right\} + \\
 &+ \frac{y_0(\lambda)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\lambda^3} + \dots \right\} + \dots + \frac{y_0(\lambda)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda^3} + \dots \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Comparing (13) и (14), we obtain the infinite system of the relationships

$$\langle A^k \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = - \sum_0^n \lambda_j^k \frac{y_0(\lambda_j)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda_j)} \tag{15}$$

for k=1,2,.. Analogously from (12) we obtain the infinite system of the relationships

$$\langle A^k \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle = - \sum_0^n \lambda_j^k \frac{y_1(\lambda_j)}{P'_{n+1}(\lambda_j)} \tag{16}$$

for k=1,2,.. The same system of equations follows for the matrix elements B

$$\langle B^k \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = - \sum_1^n \mu_j^k \frac{\det(C - \mu_j E)}{y'_0(\mu_j)} \tag{17}$$

Systems (15), (16), (17) are infinite system for determining the elements of the matrix A from the known right sides. Let  $\vec{\delta}_k$  is the vector with the zero components, besides (k+1)-, which is equal to one. Produce some calculations. Note

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \vec{\delta}_0 &= a_0 \vec{\delta}_0 + b_0 \vec{\delta}_1 + c_0 \vec{\delta}_2, \\
 A \vec{\delta}_1 &= b_0 \vec{\delta}_0 + a_1 \vec{\delta}_1 + b_1 \vec{\delta}_2 + c_1 \vec{\delta}_3, \\
 A \vec{\delta}_k &= c_{k-2} \vec{\delta}_{k-2} + b_{k-1} \vec{\delta}_{k-1} + a_k \vec{\delta}_k + b_k \vec{\delta}_{k+1} + c_k \vec{\delta}_{k+2}, \\
 k &= 2, 3, \dots, n-2 \\
 A \vec{\delta}_{n-1} &= c_{n-3} \vec{\delta}_{n-3} + b_{n-2} \vec{\delta}_{n-2} + a_{n-1} \vec{\delta}_{n-1} + b_{n-1} \vec{\delta}_n, \\
 A \vec{\delta}_n &= c_{n-2} \vec{\delta}_{n-2} + b_{n-1} \vec{\delta}_{n-1} + a_n \vec{\delta}_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the induction it is easy to prove, that

$$A^k \vec{\delta}_0 = d_0^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_0 + d_1^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_1 + \dots + d_{2k}^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_{2k}.$$

Following recurrence formulas are true for the coefficients  $d_j^{(k+1)}$ :

$$\begin{cases}
 d_0^{(k+1)} = a_0 d_0^{(k)} + b_0 d_1^{(k)} + c_0 d_2^{(k)} \\
 d_1^{(k+1)} = b_0 d_0^{(k)} + a_1 d_1^{(k)} + b_1 d_2^{(k)} + c_1 d_3^{(k)} \\
 d_2^{(k+1)} = c_0 d_0^{(k)} + b_1 d_1^{(k)} + a_2 d_2^{(k)} + b_2 d_3^{(k)} + c_2 d_4^{(k)} \\
 d_j^{(k+1)} = c_{j-2} d_{j-2}^{(k)} + b_{j-1} d_{j-1}^{(k)} + a_j d_j^{(k)} + b_j d_{j+1}^{(k)} + c_j d_{j+2}^{(k)}
 \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_0^{(1)} = a_0; d_1^{(1)} = b_0; d_2^{(1)} = c_0 .$$

$$d_{2k}^{(k+1)} = a_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} + f_{2k} ,$$

Here, subsequently we consider that the numbers  $a_j, b_{j-1}, c_{j-2}$  are equal zero for  $j > n$ . Hence it is apparent that coefficients  $d_j^{(k)}$  depend only on the row elements of matrix  $A$  with the numbers  $(0), (1), (2), (3), \dots, (2k-3), (2k-2)$ . Formulate this result in the form of lemma.

$$d_{2k+1}^{(k+1)} = b_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} + f_{2k+1} ,$$

$$d_{2k+2}^{(k+1)} = c_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)}$$

where  $f_{2k}, f_{2k+1}$  depends only on the collection  $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}, c_{2k-1}\}$ .

Analogously we obtain following representation

$$A^k \vec{\delta}_1 = c_0^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_0 + c_1^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_1 + \dots + c_{2k+1}^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_{2k+1} ,$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $k$  is fixed natural number. For  $j=0, 1, \dots, 2k-1$  the numbers  $d_j^{(k+1)}$  depends only on the collection

$$\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}, c_{2k-1}\} .$$

The numbers  $d_{2k}^{(k+1)}, d_{2k+1}^{(k+1)}, d_{2k+2}^{(k+1)}$  have representation

For coefficient  $c_j^{(k)}$  the following recurrence formulas are true.

$$c_0^{(k+1)} = a_0 c_0^{(k)} + b_0 c_1^{(k)} + c_0 c_2^{(k)} ,$$

$$c_1^{(k+1)} = b_0 c_0^{(k)} + a_1 c_1^{(k)} + b_1 c_2^{(k)} + c_1 c_2^{(k)} ,$$

$$c_j^{(k+1)} = c_{j-2} c_{j-2}^{(k)} + b_{j-1} c_{j-1}^{(k)} + a_j c_{j-1}^{(k)} + b_j c_{j-1}^{(k)} + c_j c_{j+2}^{(k)}$$

and

$$c_0^{(1)} = b_0; c_1^{(1)} = a_0; c_2^{(1)} = b_0; c_3^{(1)} = c_1 .$$

Note that the coefficients  $c_j^{(k)}$  depend only on the row elements of matrix  $A$  with the numbers  $(0), (1), (2), \dots, (2k-2), (2k-1)$ .

Formulate this result in the form of lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $k$  is fixed natural number. For  $j=0, 1, \dots, 2k$  the numbers  $c_j^{(k+1)}$  depend only on the collection

$$\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k}, b_{2k}, c_{2k}\} .$$

The numbers  $c_{2k+3}^{(k+1)}, c_{2k+1}^{(k+1)}, c_{2k+2}^{(k+1)}$  have representation

$$c_{2k+1}^{(k+1)} = a_{2k+1} c_{2k+1}^{(k)} + r_{2k+1} ,$$

$$c_{2k+2}^{(k+1)} = b_{2k+1} c_{2k+1}^{(k)} + r_{2k+2} ,$$

$$c_{2k+3}^{(k+1)} = c_{2k+1} c_{2k+1}^{(k)}$$

where  $r_{2k+2}, r_{2k+1}$  depend only on the collection  $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k}, b_{2k}, c_{2k}\}$ .

**Lemma 3.** For any natural  $k$  the following representation is true

$$\langle A^{2k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = a_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} d_{2k}^{(k)} + g_{2k-1}$$

where  $g_{2k-1}$  depend only on the collection  $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}, c_{2k-1}\}$ .

For the proof of lemma 3 examine the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A^{2k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle &= \langle A^k \vec{\delta}_0; A^{k+1} \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = \langle \sum_{j=0}^{2k} d_j^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_j; \sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} d_i^{(k+1)} \vec{\delta}_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} d_j^{(k)} d_j^{(k+1)} = \\
 &= d_{2k}^{(k)} d_{2k}^{(k+1)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} d_j^{(k)} d_j^{(k+1)} = d_{2k}^{(k)} (c_{2k-2} d_{2k-2}^{(k)} + b_{2k-1} d_{2k-1}^{(k)} + a_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)}) + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} d_j^{(k)} d_j^{(k+1)} = \quad (18) \\
 &= (a_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} d_{2k}^{(k)}) + c_{2k-2} d_{2k-2}^{(k)} d_{2k}^{(k)} + b_{2k-1} d_{2k-1}^{(k)} d_{2k}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} d_j^{(k)} d_j^{(k+1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the components which are out of round brackets depend only from the first  $(2k - 1)$  lines of matrix  $A$ . From (18) and according to lemma 1, follows the assertion of the lemma 3.

**Lemma 4.** For any natural  $k$  following representation is true

$$\langle A^{2k+2} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = (a_{2k}^2 + b_{2k}^2 + c_{2k}^2) d_{2k}^{(k)} d_{2k}^{(k)} + h_{2k-1}$$

where number  $h_{2k-1}$  depend only on the collection  $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}, c_{2k-1}\}$ .

For the proof of lemma 4 examine the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A^{2k+2} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle &= \langle A^{k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; A^{k+1} \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = \langle \sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} d_j^{(k+1)} \vec{\delta}_j; \sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} d_i^{(k+1)} \vec{\delta}_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} d_j^{(k+1)} d_j^{(k+1)} = \\
 &= d_{2k+2}^{(k+1)} d_{2k+2}^{(k+1)} + d_{2k+1}^{(k+1)} d_{2k+1}^{(k+1)} + d_{2k}^{(k+1)} d_{2k}^{(k+1)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} d_j^{(k+1)} d_j^{(k+1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

According to lemma 1 follows the assertion of the lemma 3.

**Lemma 5.** For any natural  $k$  following representation is true

$$\langle A^{2k} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle = b_{2k-1} d_{2k}^{(k)} c_{2k-1}^{(k-1)} + a_{2k-1} d_{2k-1}^{(k)} c_{2k-1}^{(k-1)} + h_{2k-2}$$

where number  $h_{2k-2}$  depend only on the collection  $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k-2}, b_{2k-2}, c_{2k-2}\}$ .

For the proof of lemma 5 examine the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A^{2k} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle &= \langle A^k \vec{\delta}_0; A^k \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle = \langle \sum_{j=0}^{2k} d_j^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_j; \sum_{i=0}^{2k} c_i^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} d_j^{(k)} c_j^{(k)} = \\
 &= d_{2k}^{(k)} c_{2k}^{(k)} + d_{2k-1}^{(k)} c_{2k-1}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-2} d_j^{(k)} c_j^{(k)}
 \end{aligned}$$

According to lemma 2 we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A^{2k} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle &= d_{2k}^{(k)} c_{2k}^{(k)} + d_{2k-1}^{(k)} c_{2k-1}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-2} d_j^{(k)} c_j^{(k)} = \\
 &= d_{2k}^{(k)} b_{2k-1} c_{2k-1}^{(k-1)} + d_{2k-1}^{(k)} a_{2k-1} c_{2k-1}^{(k-1)} + h_{2k-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The assertion of lemma 5 follows from the last formula and the lemmas 1 and 2.

**Lemma 6.** For any natural  $k$  following representation is true

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A^{2k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle &= b_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} c_{2k-1}^{(k-1)} c_{2k-1} + \\
 &+ a_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} c_{2k-1}^{(k-1)} b_{2k-1} + p_{2k-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where number  $p_{2k-2}$  depend only on the collection  $\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k-2}, b_{2k-2}, c_{2k-2}\}$ .

For the proof of lemma 6 examine the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^{2k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle &= \langle A^{k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; A^k \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} d_j^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_j; \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} c_i^{(k)} \vec{\delta}_i \right\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} d_j^{(k+1)} c_j^{(k)} = \\ &= d_{2k+1}^{(k+1)} c_{2k+1}^{(k)} + d_{2k}^{(k+1)} c_{2k}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} d_j^{(k+1)} c_j^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

According to lemma 1 we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^{2k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_1 \rangle &= (b_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} + f_{2k+1}) c_{2k+1}^{(k)} + (a_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} + f_{2k}) c_{2k}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} d_j^{(k+1)} c_j^{(k)} = \\ &= (b_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} c_{2k+1}^{(k)} + a_{2k} d_{2k}^{(k)} c_{2k}^{(k)}) + p_{2k-2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence follows the assertion of the lemma 6, according to lemma 2.

The following two lemmas relate to the matrix  $B$ , which is analogous to the matrix  $A$ . Therefore its properties are analogous to the properties of the matrix  $A$ .

**Lemma 7.** For any natural  $k$  following representation is true

$$\langle B^{2k+1} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = a_{2k+1} \tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)} \tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)} + \tilde{g}_{2k-1},$$

where numbers  $\tilde{g}_{2k-1}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)}$  depend only on the collection

$$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, \dots, a_{2k}, b_{2k}, c_{2k}\}.$$

**Lemma 8.** For any natural  $k$  following representation is true

$$\langle B^{2k+2} \vec{\delta}_0; \vec{\delta}_0 \rangle = (a_{2k+1}^2 + b_{2k+1}^2 + c_{2k+1}^2) \tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)} \tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)} + \tilde{q}_{2k-1}$$

where numbers  $\tilde{q}_{2k-1}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)}$  depend only on the collection

$$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, \dots, a_{2k}, b_{2k}, c_{2k}\}.$$

## Conclusion

Algorithm of the restoration of matrix elements  $A$ .

1. Let the elements with the numbers

$\{a_0, b_0, c_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}, c_{2k-1}\}$  are founded already.

2. The element  $\{a_{2k}\}$  can be found from the lemma 3. It is determined (unequivocal) unambiguously, since  $d_{2k}^{(k)} = c_{2k-2} c_{2k-3} \dots c_0 > 0$

3. The element  $\{b_{2k}\}$  can be found from the lemma 6. It is determined (unequivocal) unambiguously, since  $d_{2k}^{(k)}, c_{2k-1}^{(k-1)}, c_{2k-1} > 0$ .

4. The element  $\{c_{2k}\}$  can be found from the lemma 4. It is determined (unequivocal) unambiguously, since  $d_{2k}^{(k)} > 0$ .

5. The element  $\{a_{2k+1}\}$  can be found from the lemma 7. It is determined (unequivocal) unambiguously, since  $\tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)} > 0$ .

6. The element  $\{b_{2k+1}\}$  can be found from the lemma 5. It is determined (unequivocal) unambiguously, since  $d_{2k+2}^{(k+1)}, c_{2k+1}^{(k)} > 0$ .

7. The element  $\{c_{2k+1}\}$  can be found from the lemma 8. It is determined (unequivocal) unambiguously, since  $\tilde{d}_{2k}^{(k)} > 0$ .

Thus, all elements of initial matrix are restored. Formulate the basic result of work.

**Theorem 2.** If sequences (1), (2), (4) are eigenvalues of matrixes  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ , and sequence (3) are zeros of polynomial  $y_1(\lambda)$ , then matrix elements are restored unambiguously on the indicated sequences. The algorithm of restoration is given.

## References

1. Hochstadt H. On the construction of a Jacobi matrix from spectral data. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 8, 5(1974). – P.435 – 446.
2. Golinskij L.B., Kudryavtsev M. A. Return spectral problems for one class of the five-diagonal unitary matrixes // *Dokl. of the Russian Academy of Sciences*. 2008, - V.423. – № 1. – P. 11-18.
3. Godunov S.K., Kostin V.I., Mitchenko A.D. Vychislenie sobstvennogo vektora simmetricheskoj trehdiagonal'noj matricy // *Sib. mat. zhurnal*, – 1985. – T. 26. – № 5. – P.71-85.
4. Godunov S.K. *Sovremennye aspekty linejnoy algebry*, – Novosibirsk: Nauchnaja kniga, 1997, – P.390.
5. Voevodin V.V. *Chislennye metody algebry. Teorija i algoritmy*. – M.: Nauka, 1966. – 235 s.
6. Uilkinson Dzh. X. *Algebraicheskaja problema sobstvennyh znachenij*. – M.: Nauka, 1970. – 108 s.
7. Danilina N.I., Dubrovskaja N.S., Kvasha O.P., Smirnov G.L., Fekansov G.I. *Chislennye metody*. – M.: Vysshaja shkola, 1976. – P.368.
8. *Matematicheskij jenciklopedicheskij slovar*, – M.: Sovetskaja jenciklopedija, 1988. – P.847.
9. Bahvalov N.S. *Chislennye metody*. – M.: Nauka, 1973. – P.632.
10. Horn R. Dzhonson Ch. *Matrichnyj analiz*, – M.: Izd-vo «Mir», 1988. – P.206.
11. Ikramov H.D. *Nesimmetrichnaja problema sobstvennyh znachenij*. – M.: Nauka, 1991. – P.106.
12. Ikramov H.D. *Chislennye metody linejnoy algebry. (Reshenie linejnyh uravnenij)*. – M.: Znanie, 1987. – P.241.
13. Markus M., Mink H. *Obzor po teorii matric i matrichnyh neravenstv*. – M.: Nauka, 1972. – P. 34.
14. Ikramov H.D. *Chislennye metody dlja simmetrichnyh linejnyh sistem*. – M.: Nauka, 1988. – P.102.
15. Ikramov H.D. *Vychislitel'nye metody linejnoy algebry. (Reshenie bol'shih razrezhennyh sistem uravnenij prjamymi metodami)*, – M.: Znanie. – 1989. – P.84.
16. Parlett B. *Simmetrichnaja problema sobstvennyh znachenij*. – M.: Mir, 1983. – P.76.